

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

ALISHA PROSSER,

Plaintiff,

v.

STRATEGIC STUDENT SOLUTION, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-00743-APG-PAL

**REPORT OF FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATION**

This matter is before the court on Defendant's failure to comply with this court's Order (ECF No. 10) and Order to Show Cause (ECF No. 11).

On August 16, 2016, the court entered an Order (ECF No. 10) requiring Defendant to (1) retain counsel as Defendant is a corporation, and (2) file its certificate of interested parties no later than September 9, 2016. The order further advised Defendant that failure to timely comply with the court's order may result a recommendation to the district judge that default judgment to be entered against Defendant for its failure to comply. To date, Defendant has failed to comply with this court's order.


On September 14, 2016, the court entered an Order to Show Cause (ECF No. 11) because Defendant failed to comply with the previous Order (ECF No. 10) requiring Defendant to obtain counsel and file a certificate of interested parties. The court directed Defendant to show cause in writing no later than October 5, 2016, why it had not complied with the Order (ECF No. 10). The Order to Show Cause (ECF No. 11) advised Defendant that failure to timely comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions up to and including a recommendation to the district judge to: (1) strike the answer, and/or (2) entry of default judgment. Defendant failed to file a response to the Order to Show Cause and has not requested an extension of time in which to do so.

1 Defendant's willful failure to comply with the court's Orders is an abusive litigation
2 practice that has interfered with the court's ability to hear this case, delayed this litigation,
3 disrupted the court's timely management of its docket, wasted judicial resources, and threatened
4 the integrity of the court's orders and the orderly administration of justice. Sanctions less
5 drastic than default judgment would be ineffective because of Defendant's willful refusal to
6 comply with multiple court Orders, the Local Rules of Practice, and complete failure to provide
7 any response at all or request an extension of time in which to attempt to comply.

8 Accordingly,

9 **IT IS RECOMMENDED** that Defendant's Answer (ECF No. 6) be stricken and default
10 judgment be entered against it.

11 DATED this 12th day of October, 2016.

12
13 
14 PEGGY A. LEEN
15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28